



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

61
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,721	02/27/2004	Steven J. Wygant	BEL0006.US	6796
7590	04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
Todd T. Taylor TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. 142 S. Main St. P.O. Box 560 Avilla, IN 46710			JOHNSON, STEPHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/788,721	WYGANT, STEVEN J.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen M. Johnson	3641	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2005.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-7 and 10-21 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,2,6,7 and 14-21 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 5 and 10-13 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

1. Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 16-19, the phrases “the step of compressing”; “the step of rotating”; “the step of extending”; and “the step of pivoting” lacks antecedents.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stuart.

Stuart discloses clampable bipod comprising:

- a) a barrel, rail, or stock; 10, 16
- b) first and second legs; 26, 28
- c) a clamp including a plurality of jaws; 40, 42, 44, 46
- d) a fulcrum between the jaws and the legs; adjacent 40e or 42e
- e) an adjustable compression device; and 60a, 60b
- f) a cushioning device. 44, 46

4. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Butler.

Butler discloses clampable bipod comprising:

- a) a barrel, rail, or stock; 10, 16, 12
- b) first and second legs; 26, 28
- c) a clamp including a plurality of jaws; 48, 50, 70

d) a fulcrum between the jaws and the legs;	adjacent 42
e) an adjustable compression device; and	66, 68
f) a cushioning device.	70

5. Claims 1-2, 6-7, 14-16, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sorensen.

Sorensen discloses clampable bipod comprising:

a) a barrel, rail, or stock;	col. 1, lines 12-14
b) first and second legs;	[16, 17] or [66, 67]
c) a clamp including a plurality of jaws;	19, 20
d) a fulcrum between the jaws and the legs;	see figs. 3, 4
e) an adjustable compression device; and	42
f) a cushioning device.	39

6. Claims 5 and 10-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Claim 17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-6877. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873.



STEPHEN M. JOHNSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stephen M. Johnson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3641

SMJ

March 31, 2005